Original Article

New phenolic compounds from the twigs of Artocar pus heterophyllus

Xiaxia Di¹, Shuqi Wang¹, Bo Wang¹, Yongqing Liu², Huiqing Yuan², Hongxiang Lou¹, Xiaoning Wang^{1,*}

¹ Department of Natural Product Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology (Ministry of Education), School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shandong University, Ji'nan, Shandong, China;

² Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Medicine, Shandong University, Ji'nan, Shandong, China.

ABSTRACT: Two new chalcones, artocarpusins A and B (1 and 2), one new flavone, artocarpusin C (3), one new 2-arylbenzofuran derivative, artocarstilene A (4), and 15 flavonoids were isolated from the twigs of *Artocarpus heterophyllus*. Their structures were established on the basis of extensive spectroscopic analysis. Compounds 9 and 16 showed moderate inhibitory activity on the proliferation of the PC-3 and H460 cell lines.

Keywords: Artocarpus heterophyllus, chalcone, flavone, 2-arylbenzofuran, cytotoxicity

1. Introduction

Artocarpus heterophyllus Lam, belonging to the family Moraceae (mulberry family) and popularly known as jackfruit, is a key tree found in home gardens in India and Bangladesh (1-3). Different parts of this species have been used for medicinal purposes, such as alleviating asthma and fever (the roots), relieving biliousness and diarrhea (the seeds), acting as a sedative for convulsions (the wood), stimulating lactation in women and animals and acting as an antisyphilitic and vermifuge in humans (the leaves), and relieving ulcers and wounds (the leaf ash) (4,5). The plant is known to produce prenylflavonoids, stilbenes, triterpenes, and sterols. Some of these compounds have exhibited interesting biological activities, such as cytotoxicity (6), antioxidative activity (7), anti-inflammatory activity (8), antimalarial activity (9), inhibition of tyrosinase and melanin biosynthesis (10,11), and inhibition of 5α -reductase (12). In the course of an ongoing search for anticancer metabolites from Chinese medicinal plants (13), the petroleum ether and EtOAc soluble fractions from the 90% EtOH extract of the twigs

*Address correspondence to: Dr. Xiaoning Wang, Department of Natural Product Chemistry, Shandong University, 44 West Wenhua Road, Ji'nan 250012, China. E-mail: wangxn@sdu.edu.cn of *A. heterophyllus* were found to be active in an MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2*H*-tetrazolium bromide) cell viability assay of the proliferation/survival of a panel of four cancer cell lines (PC3, H460, SF-268, and MCF-7). The crude extract was then investigated further and three new flavonoids, named artocarpusins A-C (1-3) (Figure 1), one new 2-arylbenzofuran derivative, named artocarstilbene A (4), and 15 known flavonoids (5-19) were obtained. Their structures were elucidated using spectroscopic methods, including 1D and 2D nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and high-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (HRESIMS). The cytotoxicity of these compounds to the PC-3 and H460 human cancer cell lines was tested.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General experimental procedures

MTT was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Doxorubicin was purchased from Shenzhen Main Luck Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Shenzhen, China). Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a Nicolet iN 10 Micro FTIR spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) in transmission mode. Ultraviolet spectra (UV) were obtained on a Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance DRX-600 spectrometer (Bruker Biospin Group, Billerica, MA, USA) operating at 600 (¹H) and 150 (¹³C) MHz with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. HRESIMS were carried out on a LTQ-Orbitrap XL (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). All solvents used were of analytical grade (Laiyang Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shandong, China). High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed on an Agilent 1100 G1310A isopump equipped with an Agilent 1100 G1322A degasser, an Agilent 1100 G1314A VWD detector (210 nm) and a ZORBAX SB-C₁₈ column (9.4 mm \times 250 mm, 5 μ m) (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). Silica gel (200-300 mesh;



Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1-4.

Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd., Qingdao, China), C₁₈ reversed-phase silica gel (YMC ODS-A gel, YMC Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan), MCI-gel (CHP20P, 75-150 μ m, Mitsubishi Chemical Industries Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and Sephadex LH-20 (GE Health, Uppsala Sweden) were used for column chromatography (CC). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out with high-performance TLC plates precoated with silica gel GF254 (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd.). Spots of TLC were visualized within iodine vapor or by spraying with H₂SO₄-EtOH (1:9) followed by heating.

2.2. Plant material

The twigs of *A. heterophyllus* were collected from Xishuangbanna County, Yunnan Province, China in July 2009. The plant material was identified by Dr. Tao Shen, Shandong University. A voucher specimen (AH01-2009-07) was deposited with the Department of Natural Products Chemistry, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shandong University.

2.3. Extraction and isolation

The air-dried and powdered plant material (10.0 kg) was extracted with 90% EtOH (4 \times 20 L, each for 5 days) at room temperature. The combined extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a dark gum (150.0 g), which was suspended in H_2O , and fractionated successively with petroleum ether (5 \times 1 L), EtOAc (5 \times 1 L), and *n*-BuOH (5 \times 1 L). The petroleum ether soluble fraction (10.0 g) had TLC like that of the EtOAc soluble fraction (50.0 g), hence they were combined together and subjected to silica gel CC eluted with petroleum ether-EtOAc (20:1 \rightarrow 1:1) to yield 14 fractions (Fr. 1-Fr. 14). Fr. 6 (7.0 g) was subjected to CC of MCI-gel (MeOH-H₂O, 30:70 \rightarrow 90:10) to yield six subfractions (Fr. 6.1-Fr. 6.6). Fr. 6.4 (1.1 g) was first subjected to CC of silica gel (petroleum ether-acetone, $20:1\rightarrow 2:1$) to obtain the major portion, which was further separated by Sephadex LH-20 CC (MeOH) and then purified by preparative HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 82:18, 1.8 mL/min) to yield 4 (5.9 mg, $t_{\rm R}$ = 9.06 min) and 7 (13.9 mg, $t_{\rm R}$ = 16.02 min). Fr. 6.6 (1.2 g) was first separated by CC of silica gel (petroleum ether-acetone, $20:1 \rightarrow 1:1$) to obtain the major portion, which was subjected to CC of C_{18} reversed-phase silica gel (MeOH-H₂O, $30:70 \rightarrow 90:10$) to yield **16** (8.0 mg). Fr. 7 (8.0 g) was subjected to CC of MCI-gel (MeOH-H₂O, $30:70 \rightarrow 90:10$) to yield six subfractions (Fr. 7.1-Fr. 7.6). Fr. 7.1 (0.72 g) was first subjected to Sephadex LH-20 CC (EtOH) and then purified by preparative HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 78:22, 1.8 mL/min) to yield 18 (15.0 mg, $t_{\rm R} = 10.02$ min). Fr. 7.3 (1.1 g) was first subjected to CC of silica gel (dichloromethane-acetone, $50:1 \rightarrow 10:1$) to obtain the major portion, which was further separated by CC of Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH) and then purified by preparative HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 78:22, 1.8 mL/min) to yield **13** (8.0 mg, $t_{\rm R}$ = 16.02 min), **3** (10.5 mg, $t_{\rm R}$ = 19.50 min), 17 (5.0 mg, $t_{\rm R}$ = 22.02 min), and 15 (6.0 mg, $t_{\rm R} = 24.02$ min). Fr. 8 (8.2 g) was further separated by a column of MCI-gel (MeOH-H₂O, $30:70 \rightarrow 90:10$) to yield seven subfractions (Fr. 8.1-Fr. 8.7). Fr. 8.3 (1.1 g) was first subjected to CC of silica gel (dichloromethaneacetone, $50:1 \rightarrow 10:1$) to obtain the major portion, which was further separated by Sephadex LH-20 CC (MeOH) and then purified by preparative HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 75:15, 1.8 mL/min) to give 6 (5.1 mg, $t_{\rm R} = 20.06$ min), 8 (10.1 mg, $t_{\rm R}$ = 21.26 min), and 14 (5.1 mg, $t_{\rm R}$ = 23.06 min). Fr. 9 (9.9 g) was subjected to CC of MCI-gel (MeOH-H₂O, $30:70 \rightarrow 90:10$) to yield eleven subfractions (Fr. 9.1-Fr. 9.11). Fr. 9.4 (1.2 g) was first separated by CC of silica gel (dichloromethane-acetone, $50:1 \rightarrow 10:1$) to obtain the major portion, which was purified by CC of C_{18} reversed-phase silica gel (MeOH-H₂O, 30:70 \rightarrow 90:10) to yield 2 (8.0 mg) and 19 (20.0 mg). Fr. 9.5 (0.61 g) was first separated by Sephadex LH-20 CC (MeOH) and then purified by preparative HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 78:22, 1.8 mL/min) to yield 5 (3.0 mg, $t_{\rm R}$ = 12.02 min). Fr. 9.9 (1.0 g) was first subjected to CC of silica gel (dichloromethaneacetone, $100:1 \rightarrow 10:1$) to obtain the major portion, which was further separated by Sephadex LH-20 CC (EtOH) and then purified by CC of silica gel (dichloromethaneacetone, $50:1\rightarrow10:1$) to yield 9 (10.5 mg), 10 (7.5 mg), 11 (8.3 mg), 12 (3.3 mg), and 1 (2.5 mg).

2.4. Cell lines and cell culture

PC-3 cells (ATCC CRL-1435 human prostate adenocarcinoma) and NCI-H460 cells (ATCC HTB 177 human lung carcinoma) were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (HyClone, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The medium was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 100 μ g/mL penicillin, and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin. Cells were cultured in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

2.5. Cytotoxicity assay

A tetrazolium-based colorimetric assay (MTT assay) was used to determine cell viability (14). The cancer cells were all cultured under standard culture conditions. The test compounds or vehicle control (dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO) were added to appropriate wells and the cells were incubated for 72 h. Then MTT solution was added to the assay plates (final concentration, 0.5 mg/mL). After shaking for 10 sec, plates were returned to the incubator and incubated for 4 h. The supernatants were carefully removed and then100 µL of DMSO was added to each well to dissolve the precipitate. Next, the absorbance was measured at 570 nm with a Model 680 microplate reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The percent viability was expressed as absorbance in the presence of test compound as a percentage of that in the vehicle control. Doxorubicin and DMSO were used as positive and negative controls.

3. Results and Discussion

The petroleum ether and EtOAc soluble fraction was subjected to repeated column chromatography over silica gel, Sephadex LH-20, and HPLC to yield four new compounds 1-4, together with 15 known ones, artocarmitin A (5) (15), 3'-[γ -hydroxymethyl-(Z)- γ methylallyl]-2',4',4-trihydroxychalcone (6) (16), isobavachalcone (7) (17), 2',4',2,4-tetrahydroxy-3-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-chalcone (8) (18), gemichalcones A (9) and B (10), isogemichalcone B (11) (15), artocarmitin B (12) (15), 6-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)-apigenin (13) (19), arthocarpesin (14) (20), norartocarpin (15) (21), artocarpin (16) (22), cudraflavone C (17) (23), 5,7,4'-trihydroxyflavone (18) (24), and norartocarpesin (19) (25). Compounds were identified by comparison of their spectroscopic data (see Supplemental Data) with values reported in the literature.

Artocarpusin A (1) was obtained as an orange powder. The molecular formula was established as $C_{29}H_{26}O_8$ according to HRESIMS with a pseudo molecular ion [M + H]⁺ peak at *m/z* 503.1709 (calcd for $C_{29}H_{27}O_8$, 503.1706).

Table 1.	¹ H- (600	MHz) and	¹³ C- (150	MHz)	NMR	data	for
compour	ids 1 and	2		-			

Position	Compound 1	a	Compound 2 ^b		
	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	δ_{C}	
1		115.2		114.1	
2		160.0		159.3	
3	6.53, d (2.0)	103.5	6.39, s	102.4	
4		162.5		162.0	
5	6.45, dd (8.5, 2.0)	109.1	6.32, d (8.5)	108.1	
6	7.69, d (8.5)	131.7	7.72, d (8.5)	129.5	
α	7.80, d (15.6)	117.4	7.68, d (15.5)	115.5	
β	8.22, d (15.6)	140.9	8.07, d (15.5)	139.8	
CO		193.4		192.0	
1'		114.5		112.8	
2'		165.0		161.7	
3'		114.9		113.2	
4'		162.3		163.4	
5'	6.55, d (8.5)	107.9	6.46, d (8.8)	107.3	
6'	7.92, d (8.5)	130.3	7.93, d (8.8)	130.5	
7'	3.50, d (7.2)	22.1	3.27, d (7.2)	21.2	
8'	5.58, t (7.2)	128.4	5.22, t (7.2)	123.4	
9'		131.0		135.2	
10'	1.75, s	63.5	1.65, s	20.8	
11'	4.96, s	21.7	4.12, s	59.6	
1"		127.0			
2", 6"	7.57, d (8.5)	131.0			
3", 5"	6.90, d (8.5)	116.6			
4"		160.5			
7"	7.63, d (16.0)	145.3			
8"	6.39, d (16.0)	115.6			
9"		167.6			
2'-ОН	14.26, s		14.18, s		

^a Data collected in acetone-*d*₆. ^b Data collected in DMSO-*d*₆.

The 'H-NMR spectrum (Table 1) of 1 showed resonance for a methyl attached to a double bond at δ 1.75 (s, 3H), a methylene at δ 3.50 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), an oxygenated methylene at δ 4.96 (s, 2H), two *trans* olefinic protons at δ 7.63 (1H, d, J = 16.0 Hz, H-7") and 6.39 (1H, d, J = 16.0 Hz, H-8"), an olefinic proton at δ 5.58 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, H-8'), a 1,2,4-trisubstituted benzene ring at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.53 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-3), 6.45 (1H, dd, J = 2.0, 8.5 Hz, H-5), and 7.69 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-6), a 1,2,3,4-tetrasubstituted benzene ring at δ 6.55 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-5') and 7.92 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-6'), a *para*-disubstituted benzene ring at δ 6.90 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-3" and H-5") and 7.57 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-2" and H-6"), two olefinic protons of a chalcone skeleton at δ 7.80 (1H, d, J = 15.6 Hz, H- α) and 8.22 (1H, d, J = 15.6 Hz, H- β), and a hydrogenbonded hydroxyl group at δ 14.26 (1H, s, 2'-OH). The ¹³C-NMR spectrum (Table 1) revealed 29 carbon signals, which included the signals of a conjugated ketone carbonyl (δ 193.4 ppm), an esteric carbonyl (δ 167.6 ppm), three benzene rings, three double bonds, two methylenes (including one oxygenated at δ 63.5 ppm), and one methyl. The NMR data for 1 were very similar to those for $3'-[\gamma-hydroxymethyl-(E)-\gamma-methylallyl]-$ 2,4,2',4'-tetra-hydroxychalcone 11'-O-coumarate (26), except for a change in the chemical shift values for H-8' and H-11'. The NOESY correlations of H-7'/H-11' and H-8'/H-10' (Figure 2) indicated that the double bond



Figure 2. Key NOESY correlations ($H \leftrightarrow H$) of 1 and 2.

at C-8' was Z-configured. Thus, **1** was determined to be $3'-[\gamma-hydroxymethyl-(Z)-\gamma-methylallyl]-2,4,2',4'-tetrahydroxychalcone 11'-O-coumarate.$

Artocarpusin B (2) was obtained as a yellow solid. HRESIMS revealed a pseudo molecular ion $[M + H]^+$ peak at m/z 357.1334 (calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}O_6$, 357.1338), which agreed with the molecular formula $C_{20}H_{20}O_6$. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data (Table 1) for 2 closely resembled those for 6 (*16*), except for the absence of signals for one of the methyls at C-9' and appearance of one oxygenated methylene (δ 4.12, s and δ 59.6 ppm, CH₂-11') in 2. The NOESY spectrum showed intense correlations of H-10'/H-8' and H-11'/H-7', indicating that the double bond at C-8' was *Z*-configured. Thus, 2 was determined to be 3'-[γ -hydroxymethyl-(*Z*)- γ -methylallyl]-2',4',2,4-tetra-hydroxychalcone.

Artocarpusin C (3) was obtained as a yellow solid. HRESIMS revealed an $[M + H]^+$ ion peak at m/z 339.1227 (calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}O_5$, 339.1232), corresponding to the molecular formula $C_{20}H_{18}O_5$. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data (Table 2) for **3** were similar to those for isoartocarpesin (*18*) except for a difference in ring-B of the flavonoids. The absence of the AMX-type proton signals and presence of the AA'XX'-type proton signals at δ 7.90 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-3' and H-5') and 6.91 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-2' and H-6') indicated that **3** has a *para*-disubstituted phenyl moiety. Thus, **3** was determined to be 2'-dehydroxyisoartocarpesin.

Artocarstilbene A (4) was obtained as a brown solid. HRESIMS revealed an $[M-H]^-$ ion peak at m/z 307.0969, corresponding to the molecular formula $C_{19}H_{16}O_4$ (calcd for $C_{19}H_{15}O_4$, 307.0970). The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data (Table 2) for 4 closely resembled those for moracin D (27), except for changing of the 2,2-dimethylpyran ring fused at C-3' and C-4' in moracin D to a 2-isopropylfuran ring at C-3' and C-4'. Further evidence for structure 4 came from HMBC correlations of H-1"/C-3', C-5', C-2", and C-3". Thus, 4 was determined to be 2'-isopropyl-2,6'-bibenzofuran-4',6-diol.

The flavonoids **2**, **3**, **5-10**, and **13-19** were evaluated for their *in vitro* inhibition of cell proliferation using

Table 2. ¹H- (600 MHz) and ¹³C- (150 MHz) NMR data for compounds 3 and 4

Position	Compound 3 ^a		Position	Compound 4 ^a		
	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\boldsymbol{\delta}_{C}$	- 1 051000	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$	δ_{C}	
2		163.5	2		154.7	
3	6.73, s	103.0	3	7.17, s	101.2	
4		182.0	3a		121.0	
4a		103.0	4	7.37, d (8.0)	112.5	
5		159.3	5	6.74, d (8.0)	121.0	
6		108.7	6		156.3	
7		163.5	7	6.94, s	97.9	
8	6.48, s	94.2	7a		155.7	
8a		155.7	1'		127.0	
1'		121.6	2'	7.44, s	98.8	
2'	7.90, d (8.0)	128.8	3'		151.1	
3'	6.91, d (8.0)	116.4	4'		118.2	
4'		161.6	5'		156.1	
5'	6.91, d (8.0)	116.4	6'	7.08, s	104.0	
6'	7.90, d (8.0)	128.8	1"	6.58, s	98.3	
1"	6.52, d (16.2)	117.3	2"		163.5	
2"	6.70, dd	140.4	3"	3.06, m	27.6	
	(16.2, 8.0)		4"	1.29, d (6.4)	20.8	
3"	1.23, m	33.0	5"	1.29, d (6.4)	20.8	
4"	1.05, d (6.2)	23.2		/		
5"	1.05, d (6.2)	23.2				
5-ОН	13.88, s					

^a Data collected in DMSO- d_6 .

 Table 3. Cytotoxicity of some compounds against human tumor cells

Compound	PC-3	H460
1	14.1 ± 0.3	16.2 ± 0.5
2	> 100	> 100
3	> 100	65.9 ± 7.1
4	> 100	> 100
5	41.6 ± 0.5	37.5 ± 4.4
6	26.2 ± 2.8	22.9 ± 1.0
7	15.6 ± 0.0	16.1 ± 1.6
8	22.2 ± 0.5	29.9 ± 0.5
9	8.2 ± 0.3	9.5 ± 0.4
10	9.8 ± 0.2	13.1 ± 1.6
11	14.9 ± 0.5	17.6 ± 1.1
12	11.2 ± 0.7	16.2 ± 0.5
13	43.8 ± 1.9	40.6 ± 1.7
14	16.3 ± 0.1	11.6 ± 0.3
15	22.3 ± 0.9	20.7 ± 0.7
16	7.9 ± 0.6	8.3 ± 0.4
17	16.0 ± 0.1	19.8 ± 1.9
18	75.4 ± 1.5	59.0 ± 1.1
19	47.7 ± 0.1	18.6 ± 3.0
Doxorubicin	0.2 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1

Results are expressed as IC_{50} values in μ M. Doxorubicin and DMSO were used as positive and negative controls.

two cancer cell lines, PC-3 (human prostate cancer cells) and H460 (human lung cancer cells). As shown in Table 3, compounds **9** and **16** showed cytotoxic activity with IC₅₀ values of 8.2 ± 0.3 and $7.9 \pm 0.6 \mu$ M for PC-3 and 9.5 ± 0.4 and $8.3 \pm 0.4 \mu$ M for H460, respectively, and **10** showed inhibitory activity against PC-3 cells (IC₅₀ = $9.8 \pm 0.2 \mu$ M). Compounds **1**, **7**, **11**, **12**, and **14** showed weak activity against PC-3 and H460 cells (10 μ M < IC₅₀ < 20 μ M). The other compounds showed

inactivity against PC-3 and H460 cells (IC₅₀ > 20 μ M). Doxorubicin was used as a positive control, with IC₅₀ values of 0.2 \pm 0.1 μ M and 0.3 \pm 0.1 μ M against PC-3 cells and H460 cells, respectively.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21272139 and 81202422) and Scientific Research Foundation for Outstanding Middle-Aged and Young Scientists of Shandong Province (No. BS2009YY001) are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Baliga MS, Shivashankara AR, Haniadka R, Dsouza J, Bhat HP. Phytochemistry, nutritional and pharmacological properties of *Artocarpus heterophyllus* Lam (jackfruit): A review. Food Res Int. 2011; 44:1800-1811.
- Prakash O, Kumar R, Mishra A, Gupta R. *Artocarpus* heterophyllus (Jackfruit): An overview. Phcog Rev. 2009; 3:353-358.
- Bose TK. Jackfruit. In: Fruits of India: Tropical and Subtropical (Mitra BK, ed.). Naya Prokash, Calcutta, India, 1985; pp. 488-497.
- Maia JGS, Andrade EHA, Zoghbi MdGB. Aroma volatiles from two fruit varieties of jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus* Lam.). Food Chem. 2004; 85:195-197.
- Khan M, Omoloso A, Kihara M. Antibacterial activity of Artocarpus heterophyllus. Fitoterapia. 2003; 74:501-505.
- Nomura T, Hano Y, Aida M. Isoprenoid-substituted flavonoids from *Artocarpus* plants (Moraceae). Heterocycles. 1998; 47:1179-1205.
- Jagtap UB, Panaskar SN, Bapat V. Evaluation of antioxidant capacity and phenol content in jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus* Lam.) fruit pulp. Plant Foods Hum Nutr. 2010; 65:99-104.
- Wei BL, Weng JR, Chiu PH, Hung CF, Wang JP, Lin CN. Antiinflammatory flavonoids from *Artocarpus heterophyllus* and *Artocarpus communis*. J Agric Food Chem. 2005; 53:3867-3871.
- Boonlaksiri C, Oonanant W, Kongsaeree P, Kittakoop P, Tanticharoen M, Thebtaranonth Y. An antimalarial stilbene from *Artocarpus integer*. Phytochemistry. 2000; 54:415-417.
- Arung ET, Shimizu K, Kondo R. Structure-activity relationship of prenyl-substituted polyphenols from *Artocarpus heterophyllus* as inhibitors of melanin biosynthesis in cultured melanoma cells. Chem Biodivers. 2007; 4:2166-2171.
- Shimizu K, Kondo R, Sakai K, Lee SH, Sato H. The inhibitory components from *Artocarpus incisus* on melanin biosynthesis. Planta Med. 1998; 64:408-412.
- 12. Shimizu K, Fukuda M, Kondo R, Sakai K. The

 5α -reductase inhibitory components from heartwood of *Artocarpus incisus*: Structure-activity investigations. Planta Med. 2000; 66:16-19.

- Wang B, Wang XN, Shen T, Wang SQ, Guo DX, Lou HX. Rearranged abietane diterpenoid hydroquinones from aerial parts of *Ajuga decumbens* Thunb. Phytochem Lett. 2012; 5:271-275.
- Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: Application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods. 1983; 65:55-63.
- Nguyen NT, Nguyen MHK, Nguyen HX, Bui NKN, Nguyen MTT. Tyrosinase inhibitors from the wood of *Artocarpus heterophyllus*. J Nat Prod. 2012; 75:1951-1955.
- Chung MI, Lai MH, Yen MH, Wu RR, Lin CN. Phenolics from *Hypericum geminiflorum*. Phytochemistry. 1997; 44:943-947.
- Pistelli L, Spera K, Flamini G, Mele S, Morelli I. Isoflavonoids and chalcones from *Anthyllis hermanniae*. Phytochemistry. 1996; 42:1455-1458.
- Fu DY, Chen L, Hou AJ, Yao Q, Zhang GY. Chemical constituents of *Morus nigra*. Zhongcaoyao. 2005; 36:1296-1299.
- Monache GD, Scurria R, Vitali A, Botta B, Monacelli B, Pasqua G, Palocci C, Cernia E. Two isoflavones and a flavone from the fruits of *Maclura pomifera*. Phytochemistry. 1994; 37:893-898.
- Young H, Park J, Park H, Choi J. Chemical study on the stem of *Cudrania tricuspidata*. Arch Pharmacal Res. 1989; 12:39-41.
- Arung ET, Shimizu K, Kondo R. Inhibitory effect of isoprenoid-substituted flavonoids isolated from *Artocarpus heterophyllus* on melanin biosynthesis. Planta Med. 2006; 72:847-849.
- Wang YH, Hou AJ, Chen L, Chen DF, Sun HD, Zhao QS, Bastow KF, Nakanish Y, Wang XH, Lee KH. New isoprenylated flavones, artochamins A-E, and cytotoxic principles from *Artocarpus chama*. J Nat Prod. 2004; 67:757-761.
- Hano Y, Matsumoto Y, Shinohara K, Sun JY, Nomura T. Cudraflavones C and D, two new prenylflavones from the root bark of *Cudrania tricuspidata* (carr.) bur. Heterocycles. 1990; 31:1339-1344.
- Wang C, Liang H, Guo JM, Huang XZ, Liu XF, Wang J. Studies on chemical constituents from leaves of *Uraria lacei*. Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi. 2011; 36:2676-2679.
- Lin CN, Lu CM, Huang PL. Flavonoids from Artocarpus heterophyllus. Phytochemistry. 1995; 39:1447-1451.
- Lee D, Bhat KPL, Fong HHS, Farnsworth NR, Pezzuto JM, Kinghorn AD. Aromatase inhibitors from *Broussonetia papyrifera*. J Nat Prod. 2001; 64:1286-1293.
- Takasugi M, Nagao S, Ueno S, Masamune T, Shirata A, Takahashi K. Moracin C and D, new phytoalexins from diseased mulberry. Chem Lett. 1978; 7:1239-1240.

(Received December 21, 2012; Revised February 17, 2013; Accepted February 18, 2013)