# **Original** Article

# Women undergoing *in vitro* fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection-embryo transfer (IVF/ICSI-ET) might benefit from maintaining serum luteinizing hormone levels: A retrospective analysis

Yingping Xu<sup>1,§</sup>, Jia Chen<sup>2,3,§</sup>, Yanlin Zhang<sup>2,3</sup>, Qing Qi<sup>4,5,6</sup>, Jing Zhou<sup>4,5,6</sup>, Qi Zhou<sup>7</sup>, Danyi Tang<sup>7</sup>, Ling Wang<sup>4,5,6,\*</sup>

<sup>2</sup>College of Acupuncture and Orthopedics, Hubei University of Chinese Medicine, Wuhan, Hubei, China;

<sup>3</sup>Hubei Provincial Collaborative Innovation Center of Preventive Treatment by Acupuncture & Moxibustion, Wuhan, Hubei, China;

<sup>4</sup>Laboratory for Reproductive Immunology, Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai, China;

<sup>6</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Female Reproductive Endocrine-related Diseases, Shanghai, China;

SUMMARY We aimed to evaluate the effect of serum luteinizing hormone (LH) levels on human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) injection day (LH<sub>HCG</sub>) on outcomes of in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection-embryo transfer (IVF/ICSI-ET) patients. It is a retrospective cohort study involving 620 women who had an IVF cycle in Taizhou Hospital Affiliated to Wenzhou Medical University between 2018-2020. The participants were divided into different groups according to  $LH_{HCG}$  level and age. The clinical data and outcomes were compared between groups. The numbers of follicles (≥ 14 mm) on HCG day, retrieved oocytes, mature oocytes, and two pronuclei (2PN) embryos in women with  $LH_{HCG} < 2$  IU/L were more than those with  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L. Women with  $LH_{HCG} < 2$ IU/L had lower high-quality embryo rate (42.2% vs. 46.5%, p = 0.002) and implantation rate (40.0%vs. 58.8%, p = 0.044) compared to those with LH<sub>HCG</sub>  $\geq 2$  IU/L. When LH<sub>HCG</sub>  $\leq 2$  IU/L, there was no significant difference in implantation rates in patients < 35 years compared to those  $\geq 35$  years. When  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L, patients < 35 years old had higher implantation rates (71.7% vs. 41.2%, p < (0.001) compared to those  $\geq 35$  years old. The success rates of IVF fertilization and ICSI fertilization and biochemical and clinical pregnancy rates were not significantly different between groups. Our results demonstrated that women undergoing IVF/ICSI-ET might benefit from maintaining LH<sub>HCG</sub> levels at  $\geq 2$  IU/L. In addition, age might associate with LH<sub>HCG</sub> levels and be a better determining factor of the transfer outcome than serum  $LH_{HCG}$  levels for IVF/ICSI-ET.

*Keywords* luteinizing hormone, human chorionic gonadotropin, IVF/ICSI-ET, gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist, pregnancy outcomes

# 1. Introduction

The quality of follicles is different according to various controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) treatments during *in vitro* fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection-embryo transfer (IVF/ICSI-ET), impacting pregnancy outcomes (1). Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist (GnRH-a) and GnRH antagonist (GnRH-ant) protocol are clinically used to control the quality of follicles. The GnRH-ant can block the GnRH receptor without causing a

premature surge in luteinizing hormone (LH), resulting in a shorter period of ovulation induction, less reliance on gonadotropins (Gn), and fewer cases of severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome than the GnRH-a long protocol (2,3). However, the main drawback of GnRH-ant administration was a rapid and significant reduction of serum LH levels, which adversely affected oocyte retrieval and embryo quality, resulting in poor outcomes in IVF/ICSI-ET (4). Appropriate GnRHant protocols have become increasingly prevalent in assisted reproductive technology (ART).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Reproductive Medicine Centre, Taizhou Hospital of Zhejiang Province, Linhai, Zhejiang, China;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The Academy of Integrative Medicine of Fudan University, Shanghai, China;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Department of Gynecology, Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital of Yangpu District, Shanghai, China.

LH, a pituitary hormone regulated by GnRH, interacts with its receptors and promotes the maturation of ovarian follicles. A surge of LH triggers ovulation in the middle of the menstrual cycle, which prompts the corpus luteum to produce progesterone, essential for maturing the uterine endometrium to prepare for the implantation of a fertilized egg (5). Low endogenous levels of LH adversely affect the development of normal follicles and the endometrium after ovulation (6). A study has shown that low serum LH levels the day following a GnRH-a trigger are linked to a decreased rate of ongoing pregnancies, high miscarriages, and low live births (7). In women receiving human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) with less than 30% LH in the serum, the pregnancy and implantation rate was significantly reduced (7,8). However, other contrary findings indicated pregnancy outcomes were similar for patients with low and high serum LH levels (9-11). Still, it is unclear whether GnRH-ant should be used based on serum LH levels during COH. Despite the fact that supplementing LH during GnRH-ant administration compensates for the severe decrease in levels of endogenous LH caused by the GnRH-ant (4), there is controversy whether patients with endogenous LH deficiency can benefit from GnRH-ant treatment.

In addition, age is supposed to be an independent factor affecting serum LH levels and pregnancy outcomes. Clinical studies suggest that LH-supplemented ovarian stimulation was not beneficial to younger women but that women over 35 are likely to benefit from LH combined with follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) (12,13). Whether exogenous LH supplementation and age factor can effectively improve pregnancy outcomes is an unmet clinical question.

The research was conducted to evaluate the impact of LH level on HCG day (LH<sub>HCG</sub>) and age on outcomes of IVF/ICSI-ET patients using the GnRH-ant protocol. We retrospectively analyzed 620 women who had undergone IVF/ICSI-ET and accepted the GnRH-ant protocol. Exogenous LH was applied if needed during the GnRH-ant protocol. Fertilization and pregnancy outcomes were investigated from the different groups, which were divided according to LH<sub>HCG</sub> level (2 IU/L) and age (35 years).

# 2. Patients and Methods

#### 2.1. Patients and study design

This retrospective study was performed in Taizhou Hospital, Zhejiang Province, from January 2018 to December 2020. Inclusion criteria were as follows: *i*) Aged 20-50 years old; *ii*) underwent IVF/ICSI-ET and accepted GnRH-ant protocol. Exclusion criteria included: *i*) Incomplete study data; *ii*) uterine pathology or morphological abnormality; *iii*) those with gonadal disorders or conditions that affected the secretion or excretion of sex hormones and v) chromosome abnormality. Ethical approval was obtained by the Ethics Committee of Taizhou Hospital, Zhejiang Province. During the study, 1276 women were screened based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. Stratified analyses were performed with the patient population according to a level of serum LH (LH<sub>HCG</sub> < 2 IU/L) *versus* LH<sub>HCG</sub>  $\geq$  2 IU/L) and age (< 35 years *versus*  $\geq$  35 years). In total, given the confounding factors of frozen embryo implantation, only 125 women with fresh embryo implantation were eligible for implantation analysis (Figure 1).

#### 2.2. GnRH-ant protocol

Gn was intramuscularly injected to induce ovulation if serum basal levels of FSH and LH conformed to standard on the 2nd-4th day of menstruation, and no functional follicles were showed by transvaginal ultrasound. The initial gonadotropin dose of Gn (Lishenbao, 75 U, Lizhu Group) varied from 150 to 225 IU according to serum hormone levels and antral follicle count (AFC). During COH, the dose for GnRH-antagonist (Sizekai, 0.25 mg, Merck Cerano, France) injection depended on the follicle's diameter  $(\geq 14 \text{ mm})$  and serum basal LH levels (> 10 IU/L). Follicular development was monitored on vaginal ultrasound examination and hormone levels. When serum LH level is too low and follicular development is not synchronized, human menopausal gonadotropin (HMG, Lebaode, 75 IU, Lizhu Group, Zhuhai, China) is injected to supplement exogenous LH. When  $\geq 3$ follicles reached a diameter of  $\geq 17$  mm, or  $\geq 2$  follicles reached a diameter of  $\geq 18$  mm, 5000-10000 IU of HCG was administered. Aspiration of the oocytes was carried out 36 hours after injection of HCG with ultrasound guidance.

### 2.3. IVF/ICSI-ET

IVF was performed as a routine, while ICSI was conducted in the following cases: i) Patients with previous conventional fertilization failure or fertilization rate < 30%; *ii*) spouses with severe oligospermia, asthenospermia, and teratozoospermia; iii) obstructive, non-obstructive azoospermia; iv) difficult to take sperm or unable to ejaculate after taking eggs; vi) cryopreservation of a limited number of sperm. Normal fertilization could be confirmed when two pronuclei (2PN) were visible 16-18 h after insemination. Three days after oocyte retrieval, the decision to perform a transplant was made in accordance with embryo grading, hormone levels, and the condition of the endometrium. A suitable number of embryos was transferred according to Chinese legislation: For the first time in their reproductive years, women under 35 can only be transferred two embryos, whereas women



Figure 1. Flow chart of patient disposition

over 35 or who have failed IVF/ICSI-ET several times can receive two to three embryos (14). The high-quality blastocysts were freshly transplanted or cryopreserved, and the non-high-quality blastocysts were discarded.

#### 2.4. Outcomes

There were two main outcomes: biochemical and clinical pregnancy rates. Biochemical pregnancy was confirmed as serum  $\beta$ -HCG > 25 IU/L within 12-14 days of embryo transfer (*15*). Clinical pregnancy was confirmed according to gynecological ultrasonography 30-35 days after embryo transfer (*16*). Furthermore, we evaluated the implantation rate, high-quality embryo rate, normal fertilization rate of IVF, and normal fertilization rate of ICSI. Age, body mass index (BMI), infertility duration, bilateral AFC, basal FSH level, basal LH level, basal FSH/LH, basal estradiol, LH<sub>HCG</sub>, progesterone level on HCG day (P<sub>HCG</sub>), amount of follicles  $\geq$  14 mm on HCG day, retrieved oocyte number, mature oocyte number, 2PN embryo count, and high-quality embryo count were also analyzed.

# 2.5. Statistical methods

In normal distributions, the mean and standard deviation are used to represent continuous variables.

In view of the non-normal distribution of the data, continuous variables have been expressed as median (quartile range). When analyzing continuous variables, the Mann-Whitney U test was used. With categorical variables expressed as percentages, the Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact probability were used to test the data. Statistical significance was reached at p < 0.05, and statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS 25.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Participant characteristics

All 620 patients were divided into  $LH_{HCG} < 2 \text{ IU/L}$ L group and  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2 \text{ IU/L}$  group based on serum  $LH_{HCG}$ . There were no differences in BMI (p = 0.076) and infertility duration (p = 0.451) between the two groups. However, a younger age (p = 0.006) was observed in the  $LH_{HCG} < 2 \text{ IU/L}$  group. No significant differences were found in infertility duration, bilateral AFC, basal estradiol, and  $P_{HCG}$  between the two groups. Patients in the  $LH_{HCG} < 2 \text{ IU/L}$  group had lower basal FSH levels (7.4 *vs*. 7.8, p = 0.006), lower basal LH levels (4.0 *vs*. 4.7, p < 0.001), and greater basal FSH/LH (1.83 *vs*. 1.73, p = 0.049) (Table 1). Thus, age might associate with  $LH_{HCG}$  levels. 3.2. Patients in  $LH_{HCG} < 2$  IU/L group trended to be fertilized but had a lower implantation rate

A suitable range of LH levels for achieving pregnancy has not been established. Some studies conclude LH<sub>HCG</sub> may predict the fate of IVF, in which LH = 2 IU/L is an important demarcation point (17,18). In this study, we investigated the outcomes of fertilization and implantation according to the level of LH. The embryo transfer rate was no significant difference between  $LH_{HCG} < 2$  IU/L group (45/251, 17.9%) and  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L group (80/369, 21.7%). A comparison of LH<sub>HCG</sub> groups was carried out to examine fertility and pregnancy outcomes. Compared to  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/ L group, patients in the  $LH_{HCG} < 2$  IU/L group had more number of follicles  $\geq 14$  mm on HCG day, retrieved oocytes, mature oocytes, and 2PN embryos, but lower high-quality embryo rate (42.2% vs. 46.5%, p = 0.002) and implantation rate (40.0% vs. 58.8%, p = 0.044). The median number of high-quality embryos (3 vs. 2, p = 0.076), IVF fertilization rate (75.9% vs. 75.5%, p = 0.710), ICSI fertilization rate (84.0% vs. 83.8%, p = 0.874), biochemical pregnancy (17.8% vs. 15.0%, p = 0.684) rate and clinical pregnancy rate (31.1%) vs. 43.8%, p = 0.165) were no significant differences between two groups (Table 2). Therefore, patients with  $LH_{HCG} < 2$  IU/L intended to be fertilized, while patients with  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L had a higher implantation rate.

# 3.3. Subgroup analysis

#### 3.3.1. Participant characteristics in different subgroups

A woman's LH bioactivity typically falls across the threshold of 35 years (19).  $LH_{HCG} < 2$  IU/L group and  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L group were further classified according to age. When  $LH_{HCG} < 2$  IU/L, no difference was observed regarding BMI, infertility duration, basal FSH levels, basal estradiol, and P<sub>HCG</sub> in different ages; patients in the < 35 years group had more bilateral AFC (15.0 vs. 8.5, p < 0.001), higher basal LH levels (4.10 *vs.* 3.70, p = 0.009), and lower basal FSH/LH (1.76 *vs.* 2.18, p = 0.006) than those  $\geq 35$  years (Table 3). When  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L, the groups did not differ significantly in BMI and infertility duration in the < 35 years group and  $\geq$  35 years group. Meanwhile, patients in the < 35 years group had more bilateral AFC (14 vs. 9, p < 0.001), lower basal FSH levels and FSH/LH ratio, increased basal LH levels (5.20 vs. 4.20, p < 0.001), higher basal estradiol levels (41.00 vs. 36.50, p = 0.031), and increased  $P_{HCG}$  levels (1.01 vs. 0.84, p < 0.001) in the  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2 IU/L$  group (Table 4).

Table 1. Characteristics and ovarian reserve of patients with different LH<sub>HCG</sub> levels

| Variables                    | $LH_{HCG} < 2 IU/L$ | $LH_{\rm HCG} \geq 2~IU/L$ | р       |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                  | 31 (28-34)          | 32 (29-36)                 | 0.006   |
| BMI $(kg/m^2)$               | 22.0 (20.0-24.3)    | 22.6 (20.3-25.1)           | 0.076   |
| Infertility duration (years) | 3.0 (2.0-5.0)       | 2.5 (2.0-5.0)              | 0.451   |
| Bilateral AFC                | 13 (9-19)           | 12 (8-19)                  | 0.072   |
| Basal FSH (IU/L)             | 7.4 (6.3-8.6)       | 7.8 (6.5-9.4)              | 0.006   |
| Basal LH (IU/L)              | 4.0 (3.0-5.4)       | 4.7 (3.5-6.4)              | < 0.001 |
| Basal FSH/LH                 | 1.83 (1.34-2.53)    | 1.73 (1.18-2.33)           | 0.049   |
| Basal estradiol (pg/mL)      | 37.0 (27.0-54.0)    | 39.2 (27.0-52.5)           | 0.678   |
| $P_{HCG}$ (ng/mL)            | 1.06 (0.73-1.47)    | 0.97 (0.66-1.40)           | 0.189   |

LH: luteinizing hormone; HCG: human chorionic gonadotropin;  $LH_{HCG}$ : LH levels on HCG day; BMI: body mass index; AFC: antral follicle counting; FSH: follicle stimulating hormone;  $P_{HCG}$ : progesterone levels on HCG day.

| Table 2. | Embryological and | pregnancy | outcomes of | patients with | different | LH <sub>HCG</sub> levels |
|----------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------------|
|          |                   |           |             | 1             |           | nco                      |

| Variables                                   | $LH_{HCG} < 2 IU/L$ | $LH_{HCG} \ge 2 \ IU/L$ | р       |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Embryo transfers ( <i>n</i> , %)            | 45/251 (17.9)       | 80/369 (21.7)           | 0.264   |
| Number of follicles $\geq 14$ mm on HCG day | 9.0 (6.0-13.0)      | 7.0 (4.0-11.5)          | < 0.001 |
| Number of oocytes obtained                  | 10.0 (6.0-15.0)     | 8.0 (5.0-13.0)          | < 0.001 |
| Number of mature oocytes                    | 10.0 (6.0-14.0)     | 7.0 (4.5-12.0)          | < 0.001 |
| Number of 2PN embryos                       | 8 (5-12)            | 6 (3-10)                | < 0.001 |
| Normal fertilization rate of IVF $(n, \%)$  | 2237/2949 (75.9)    | 2678/3549 (75.5)        | 0.710   |
| Normal fertilization rate of ICSI $(n, \%)$ | 2237/2664 (84.0)    | 2678/3195 (83.8)        | 0.874   |
| Number of high-quality embryos              | 3 (1-5)             | 2 (1-5)                 | 0.076   |
| High-quality embryo rate $(n, \%)$          | 944/2237 (42.2)     | 1246/2678 (46.5)        | 0.002   |
| Implantation rate $(n, \%)$                 | 18/45 (40.0)        | 47/80 (58.8)            | 0.044   |
| Biochemical pregnancy rate $(n, \%)$        | 8/45 (17.8)         | 12/80 (15.0)            | 0.684   |
| Clinical pregnancy rate $(n, \%)$           | 14/45 (31.1)        | 35/80 (43.8)            | 0.165   |

LH: luteinizing hormone; HCG: human chorionic gonadotropin; LH<sub>HCG</sub>: LH levels on HCG day; 2PN: two pronuclei; IVF: *in vitro* fertilization; ICSI: intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

### Table 3. Patients characteristics in the $LH_{HCG}$ < 2 IU/L group

| Variables                    | LH <sub>HCG</sub> < |                     |         |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
|                              | < 35 years          | $\geq$ 35 years     | р       |
| Age (years)                  | 30 (27-32)          | 37 (36-40)          | < 0.001 |
| BMI $(kg/m^2)$               | 22.03 (19.53-24.37) | 21.85 (20.65-24.30) | 0.450   |
| Infertility duration (years) | 3.0 (2.0-5.0)       | 3.0 (1.0-6.3)       | 0.774   |
| Bilateral AFC                | 15.0 (10.0-20.0)    | 8.5 (6.0-12.3)      | < 0.001 |
| Basal FSH (IU/L)             | 7.30 (6.25-8.50)    | 7.50 (6.68-8.90)    | 0.394   |
| Basal LH (IU/L)              | 4.10 (3.20-5.50)    | 3.70 (2.60-4.45)    | 0.009   |
| Basal FSH/LH                 | 1.76 (1.30-2.31)    | 2.18 (1.50-2.90)    | 0.006   |
| Basal estradiol (pg/mL)      | 36.00 (27.00-53.35) | 44.00 (26.75-54.75) | 0.464   |
| P <sub>HCG</sub> (ng/mL)     | 1.09 (0.77-1.54)    | 0.95 (0.55-1.36)    | 0.096   |

LH: luteinizing hormone; HCG: human chorionic gonadotropin;  $LH_{HCG}$ : LH levels on HCG day; BMI: body mass index; AFC: antral follicle counting; FSH: follicle stimulating hormone;  $P_{HCG}$ : progesterone levels on HCG day.

| Table 4. | Patients | characteristics i | n the | $LH_{HCG} \ge$ | 2 IU/L | group |
|----------|----------|-------------------|-------|----------------|--------|-------|
|----------|----------|-------------------|-------|----------------|--------|-------|

| Variables                    | $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$    |                     |         |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
|                              | < 35 years          | $\geq$ 35 years     | р       |
| Age (years)                  | 30 (27-32)          | 37 (36-40)          | < 0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )     | 22.70 (20.00-25.10) | 22.50 (20.55-25.00) | 0.766   |
| Infertility duration (years) | 3 (2-5)             | 2 (1-6)             | 0.264   |
| Bilateral AFC                | 14 (10-20)          | 9 (6-12)            | < 0.001 |
| Basal FSH (IU/L)             | 7.40 (6.30-8.85)    | 8.60 (7.23-10.28)   | < 0.001 |
| Basal LH (IU/L)              | 5.20 (3.60-7.15)    | 4.20 (3.33-5.30)    | < 0.001 |
| Basal FSH/LH                 | 1.44 (1.00-2.14)    | 2.05 (1.65-2.78)    | < 0.001 |
| Basal estradiol (pg/mL)      | 41.00 (29.00-54.00) | 36.50 (24.00-50.68) | 0.031   |
| P <sub>HCG</sub> (ng/mL)     | 1.01 (0.73-1.54)    | 0.84 (0.53-1.26)    | < 0.001 |

LH: luteinizing hormone; HCG: human chorionic gonadotropin;  $LH_{HCG}$ : LH levels on HCG day; BMI: body mass index; AFC: antral follicle counting; FSH: follicle stimulating hormone;  $P_{HCG}$ : progesterone levels on HCG day.

# 3.3.2. When $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$ IU/L, patients < 35 years had higher implantation rates than those $\ge$ 35 years

Better implantation and pregnancy rates have been reported when patients aged  $\geq 35$  years had LH supplementation added to COH protocol, while others disagree (20, 21). In this study, subgroup-wise differences analyses were performed to investigate whether adding exogenous LH in women  $\geq$  35 years benefits under the IVF/ICSI-ET. The results revealed that the number of follicles  $\geq 14$  mm on HCG day, retrieved oocytes, mature oocytes, and 2PN embryos were significantly higher in the < 35 years group than those in the  $\geq$  35 years group, regardless of whether  $LH_{HCG} \le 2 IU/L$  (Table 5) or  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2 IU/L$  (Table 6). Statistics did not show any significant differences in embryo transfer rate, normal fertilization rate on IVF or ICSI, high-quality embryos rate, biochemical pregnancy rate, and clinical pregnancy rate between the < 35 years group and  $\geq$  35 years group, no matter whether LH<sub>HCG</sub> < 2 IU/L (Table 5) or  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L (Table 6). But when  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L, patients < 35 years old had higher implantation rates (71.7% vs. 41.2%, p = 0.006) (Table 6). Maintaining serum LH<sub>HCG</sub> levels in the range of  $\geq 2$ IU/L may benefit women under the IVF/ICSI-ET and GnRH-ant protocol. In addition, age may be a better determining factor of the transfer outcome than serum  $LH_{HCG}$  levels for IVF/ICSI-ET.

#### 4. Discussion

In this study, serum  $LH_{HCG}$  levels were analyzed to determine if they affected outcomes in IVF/ICSI-ET. The results revealed that patients with  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/ L had better ovarian reserve capacity, a higher rate of high-quality embryos, and a higher implantation rate. Among patients with low and high levels of  $LH_{HCG}$ , there were no statistical differences with regard to clinical outcomes, including fertilization rates in IVF, fertilization rates in ICSI, number of high-quality embryos, biochemical pregnancy rates, and clinical pregnancy rates, in line with previous findings (*9-11,22,23*).

In IVF, GnRH-ant protocols are increasingly preferred, compared to GnRH-a long protocols, because they align with physiological processes (2). Women undergoing an IVF/ICSI-ET program are routinely given GnRH-ant to prevent premature LH surges (24). Through competitively blocking the GnRH receptor, GnRH-ant administration could rapidly suppress pituitary LH secretion (25). Regulating follicle growth and ovulation by FSH and LH is

| x7 · 11   | $LH_{HCG} < 2 IU/L$ |                 |         |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|---------|
| variables   | < 35 years          | $\geq$ 35 years | р       |
| Embryo transfers ( <i>n</i> , %)                    | 31/189 (16.4)       | 14/62 (22.6)    | 0.340   |
| Number of follicles $\geq 14 \text{ mm}$ on HCG day | 11.0 (7.0-14.0)     | 6.5 (4.8-9.0)   | < 0.001 |
| Number of oocytes obtained                          | 11.0 (9.0-16.0)     | 7.0 (4.0-10.3)  | < 0.001 |
| Number of mature oocytes                            | 10 (7-15)           | 6 (4-9)         | < 0.001 |
| Number of 2PN embryos                               | 9 (5-13)            | 6 (3-8)         | < 0.001 |
| Normal fertilization rate of IVF $(n, \%)$          | 1851/2444 (75.7)    | 386/505 (76.4)  | 0.738   |
| Normal fertilization rate of ICSI $(n, \%)$         | 1851/2206 (83.9)    | 386/458 (84.3)  | 0.843   |
| Number of high-quality embryos                      | 4 (2-6)             | 2 (1-4)         | 0.009   |
| High-quality embryo rate $(n, \%)$                  | 766/1851 (41.4)     | 178/386 (46.1)  | 0.087   |
| Implantation rate $(n, \%)$                         | 15/31 (48.4)        | 3/14 (21.4)     | 0.087   |
| Biochemical pregnancy rate $(n, \%)$                | 6/31 (19.4)         | 2/14 (14.3)     | 0.681   |
| Clinical pregnancy rate $(n, \%)$                   | 12/31 (38.7)        | 2/14 (14.3)     | 0.101   |

#### Table 5. Embryological and pregnancy outcomes of patients in the $LH_{HCG}$ < 2 IU/L group

LH: luteinizing hormone; HCG: human chorionic gonadotropin; LH<sub>HCG</sub>: LH levels on HCG day; 2PN: two pronuclei; IVF: *in vitro* fertilization; ICSI: intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

| Table 6. Embryological and pr | regnancy outcomes of patients i | n the LH <sub>HCG</sub> ≥2 IU/L group |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|

|   | $LH_{HCG} \ge 2 IU/L$ |                 |         |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Variables                                   | < 35 years            | $\geq$ 35 years | р       |
| Embryo transfers ( <i>n</i> , %)            | 46/241 (19.1)         | 34/128 (26.6)   | 0.111   |
| Number of follicles $\geq$ 14 mm on HCG day | 8 (5-13)              | 5 (3-7)         | < 0.001 |
| Number of oocytes obtained                  | 10 (7-15)             | 5 (3-8)         | < 0.001 |
| Number of mature oocytes                    | 9 (6-14)              | 5 (3-7)         | < 0.001 |
| Number of 2PN embryos                       | 8 (5-12)              | 4 (2-6)         | < 0.001 |
| Normal fertilization rate of IVF $(n, \%)$  | 2089/2769 (75.4)      | 589/780 (75.5)  | 0.968   |
| Normal fertilization rate of ICSI $(n, \%)$ | 2089/2481 (84.2)      | 589/714 (82.5)  | 0.275   |
| Number of high-quality embryos              | 3 (2-6)               | 2 (1-3)         | < 0.001 |
| High-quality embryo rate $(n, \%)$          | 965/2089 (46.2)       | 281/589 (47.7)  | 0.515   |
| Implantation rate $(n, \%)$                 | 33/46 (71.7)          | 14/34 (41.2)    | 0.006   |
| Biochemical pregnancy rate $(n, \%)$        | 7/46 (15.2)           | 5/34 (14.7)     | 0.949   |
| Clinical pregnancy rate $(n, \%)$           | 24/46 (52.2)          | 11/34 (32.4)    | 0.077   |

LH: luteinizing hormone; HCG: human chorionic gonadotropin; LH<sub>HCG</sub>: LH levels on HCG day; 2PN: two pronuclei; IVF: *in vitro* fertilization; ICSI: intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

one of the key factors to improve the success rate of ART. Studies have indicated that FSH and LH surges promote extracellular matrix synthesis and expansion in cumulus cells, contributing to oocyte meiosis. This is crucial for oocyte maturation, ovulation, fertilization, and early embryonic development (26,27). A serum LH threshold of < 0.5 IU/L has been proposed as a diagnostic criterion for severe luteinizing depression after IVF ovarian stimulation (28). Clinical studies have shown that LH levels below 0.5 IU/L in GnRH-ant treatment significantly lower the rate of implantation and pregnancy. For patients with low levels of LH, adding low-dose urinary HCG improves implantation and live birth rates (29). An adequate serum LH level of 1.2 IU/L is required to support FSH-induced follicular development (30,31). Thus, adjusting LH levels is of great significance for protecting embryo development in ART. However, relevant studies are disputable about whether endogenous LH should be replenished and which is a suitable level of  $LH_{HCG}$  (32). In this study, results demonstrated that patients with  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L had higher implantation rates than those with  $LH_{HCG}$  <

2 IU/L when patients  $\geq$  35 years; therefore, maintaining LH<sub>HCG</sub> levels in the range of  $\geq$  2 IU/L can be suitable, especially in women  $\geq$  35 years.

FSH/LH ratio and bilateral AFC reflect ovarian reserve, which can predict ovarian reserve degradation and COH response (*33*). Studies have shown that the ratio of FSH/LH is positively correlated with age (*34*). It is consistent with our subgroup analysis result that women aged < 35 years had lower FSH/LH and higher bilateral AFC, indicating that patients aged < 35 years had better ovarian reserve capacity. Our study also observed that the basal FSH/LH in LH<sub>HCG</sub> < 2 IU/L group was higher than that in the LH<sub>HCG</sub>  $\geq$  2 IU/L group, which means that patients with LH<sub>HCG</sub>  $\geq$  2 IU/L have a better ovarian reserve.

The quality of follicles obtained by hyperstimulation is far more important than the number of follicles. A complex intrafollicular process that controls oocyte maturation affects oocyte quality and impedes embryo implantation (35). ARTs have been challenged by the need to select embryos with higher implantation potential (36). Our study indicated that high LH levels are not conducive to follicle excretion and maturation in the early stages of ovulation stimulation, but  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L is associated with high-quality embryos and implantation. Previous studies have shown that supplementing LH activity in the mid-follicle produces favorable pregnancy outcomes in low-responders (*37*). LH activity administered only in low doses of HCG can support the final stages of follicular development without causing premature luteinization, which supports our theory (*38*).

Increased serum estradiol levels during ovarian hyperstimulation can be good predictive properties for IVF-ET outcomes (39). Although there is no direct association between  $P_{HCG}$  and clinical pregnancy among women undergoing ovarian stimulation with gonadotropins (40), progesterone can cause endometrial thickening, congestion, gland hyperplasia, and branching after ovulation, which is conducive to pregnancy and embryo development. This study showed that estradiol levels remained high during ovarian stimulation, and no significant difference was found between estradiol and P<sub>HCG</sub> levels according to  $LH_{HCG}$  levels. However, when  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L, patients with age < 35 had higher levels of estradiol and P<sub>HCG</sub>, suggesting that  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L and age < 35 can be used as an indication for IVF/ICSI-ET outcomes.

It can be concluded that with age, the quantity and quality of follicles obtained by superovulation stimulation and the quality of embryos gradually decrease, consistent with previous studies (41,42). Notably, when patients'  $LH_{HCG} < 2$  IU/L, no difference was observed in implantation rate among different age groups, while when patients'  $LH_{HCG} \ge 2$  IU/L, patients < 35 years had a higher implantation rate. The result suggests that patients aged  $\ge 35$  years are more likely to require serum  $LH_{HCG}$  levels in the range of  $\ge 2$ IU/L to meet the needs of embryo transfer and later development.

In conclusion, it is meaningful to monitor and control  $LH_{HCG}$  levels to improve ovarian reserve function and blastocyst implantation after transplantation during GnRH-ant protocols because of higher rates of high-quality embryos and implantation. Exogenous LH supplementation may be efficacious in improving pregnancy outcomes in this study; still, the specific level of  $LH_{HCG}$  needs further prospective research.

# Acknowledgements

The authors wish to sincerely thank Peng Li and Suna Tian for their assistance in preparing the figures in this manuscript.

*Funding*: This work was supported by grants from a project under the Scientific and Technological Innovation Action Plan of the Shanghai Natural Science Fund (grant no. 20ZR1409100 to L Wang), a project of the Chinese Association of Integration of Traditional and Western Medicine special foundation for Obstetrics and Gynecology-PuZheng Pharmaceutical Foundation (grant no. FCK-PZ-08 to L Wang), a project for hospital management of the Shanghai Hospital Association (grant no. X2021046 to L Wang), a clinical trial project of the Special Foundation for Healthcare Research of the Shanghai Municipal Health Commission (grant no. 202150042 to L Wang) and a project of the Special Foundation for Traditional Chinese Medicine of the Yangpu District of Shanghai (YPZQ202107).

*Conflict of Interest*: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

#### References

- Guzick DS, Carson SA, Coutifaris C, Overstreet JW, Factor-Litvak P, Steinkampf MP, Hill JA, Mastroianni L, Buster JE, Nakajima ST, Vogel DL, Canfield RE. Efficacy of superovulation and intrauterine insemination in the treatment of infertility. National cooperative reproductive medicine network. N Engl J Med. 1999; 340:177-183.
- Zhang J, Sun YF, Xu YM, Shi BJ, Han Y, Luo ZY, Zhao ZM, Hao GM, Gao BL. Effect of endometrium thickness on clinical outcomes in luteal phase short-acting GnRH-a long protocol and GnRH-ant protocol. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021; 12:578783.
- Xu Y, Zhang YS, Zhu DY, Zhai XH, Wu FX, Wang AC. Influence of GnRH antagonist in reproductive women on *in vitro* fertilization and embryo transfer in fresh cycles. Biomed Rep. 2019; 10:113-118.
- Gizzo S, Andrisani A, Noventa M, Manfè S, Oliva A, Gangemi M, Nardelli GB, Ambrosini G. Recombinant LH supplementation during IVF cycles with a GnRHantagonist in estimated poor responders: A cross-matched pilot investigation of the optimal daily dose and timing. Mol Med Rep. 2015; 12:4219-4229.
- Xu W, An X, Zhang N, Li L, Zhang X, Wang Y, Wang L, Sun Y. Middle-aged female rats lack changes in histone H3 acetylation in the anterior hypothalamus observed in young females on the day of a luteinizing hormone surge. Biosci Trends. 2019; 13:334-341.
- Hillier SG. Gonadotropic control of ovarian follicular growth and development. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2001; 179:39-46.
- Benmachiche A, Benbouhedja S, Zoghmar A, Humaidan P. Low LH level on the day of GnRH agonist trigger is associated with reduced ongoing pregnancy and live birth rates and increased early miscarriage rates following IVF/ICSI treatment and fresh embryo transfer. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2019; 10:639.
- Yanaihara A, Yorimitsu T, Motoyama H, Ohara M, Kawamura T. The decrease of serum luteinizing hormone level by a gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist following the mild IVF stimulation protocol for IVF and its clinical outcome. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2008; 25:115-118.
- 9. Doody KJ, Devroey P, Leader A, Witjes H, Mannaerts BM. No association between endogenous LH and pregnancy in a GnRH antagonist protocol: Part I,

corifollitropin alfa. Reprod Biomed Online. 2011; 23:449-456.

- Griesinger G, Shapiro DB, Kolibianakis EM, Witjes H, Mannaerts BM. No association between endogenous LH and pregnancy in a GnRH antagonist protocol: Part II, recombinant FSH. Reprod Biomed Online. 2011; 23:457-465.
- Merviel P, Antoine JM, Mathieu E, Millot F, Mandelbaum J, Uzan S. Luteinizing hormone concentrations after gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist administration do not influence pregnancy rates in *in vitro* fertilizationembryo transfer. Fertil Steril. 2004; 82:119-125.
- Huang C, Shen X, Mei J, Sun Y, Sun H, Xing J. Effect of recombinant LH supplementation timing on clinical pregnancy outcome in long-acting GnRHa downregulated cycles. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2022; 22:632.
- Marrs R, Meldrum D, Muasher S, Schoolcraft W, Werlin L, Kelly E. Randomized trial to compare the effect of recombinant human FSH (follitropin alfa) with or without recombinant human LH in women undergoing assisted reproduction treatment. Reprod Biomed Online. 2004; 8:175-182.
- Hu L, Bu Z, Wang K, Sun Y. Recombinant luteinizing hormone priming in early follicular phase for women undergoing *in vitro* fertilization: Systematic review and meta-analysis. J Int Med Res. 2014; 42:261-269.
- Saunders H, Khan C, D'Hooghe T, Magnúsdóttir TB, Klingmann I, Hrafnsdóttir S. Efficacy, safety and tolerability of progesterone vaginal pessaries versus progesterone vaginal gel for luteal phase support after *in vitro* fertilisation: A randomised controlled trial. Hum Reprod. 2020; 35:355-363.
- Zegers-Hochschild F, Adamson GD, Dyer S, Racowsky C, de Mouzon J, Sokol R, Rienzi L, Sunde A, Schmidt L, Cooke ID, Simpson JL, van der Poel S. The international glossary on infertility and fertility care, 2017. Hum Reprod. 2017; 32:1786-1801.
- Huirne JA, van Loenen AC, Schats R, McDonnell J, Hompes PG, Schoemaker J, Homburg R, Lambalk CB. Dose-finding study of daily GnRH antagonist for the prevention of premature LH surges in IVF/ICSI patients: Optimal changes in LH and progesterone for clinical pregnancy. Hum Reprod. 2005; 20:359-367.
- Bansal S, Singh N, Gupta P, Malhotra N, Mahendru R. Does basal luteinizing hormone help predict the fate of *in vitro* fertilization? JBRA Assist Reprod. 2016; 20:66-71.
- Wong PC, Qiao J, Ho C, Ramaraju GA, Wiweko B, Takehara Y, Nadkarni PV, Cheng LC, Chen HF, Suwajanakorn S, Vuong TN. Current opinion on use of luteinizing hormone supplementation in assisted reproduction therapy: An Asian perspective. Reprod Biomed Online. 2011; 23:81-90.
- 20. König TE, van der Houwen LE, Overbeek A, Hendriks ML, Beutler-Beemsterboer SN, Kuchenbecker WK, Renckens CN, Bernardus RE, Schats R, Homburg R, Hompes PG, Lambalk CB. Recombinant LH supplementation to a standard GnRH antagonist protocol in women of 35 years or older undergoing IVF/ICSI: A randomized controlled multicentre study. Hum Reprod. 2013; 28:2804-2812.
- Humaidan P, Bungum M, Bungum L, Yding Andersen C. Effects of recombinant LH supplementation in women undergoing assisted reproduction with GnRH agonist down-regulation and stimulation with recombinant FSH: An opening study. Reprod Biomed Online. 2004; 8:635-

643.

- 22. Xia X, Shi Y, Geng L, Liu D, Hou Z, Lin H, Li R, Wang H, Tao L, Meng F, Da J, Chen Y, Qiao J, Qian W, Li H. A cohort study of both human menopausal gonadotropin (HMG) and recombinant luteinizing hormone addition at early follicular stage in *in vitro* fertilization outcome: A strobe-compliant study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019; 98:e15512.
- 23. Turathum B, Gao EM, Chian RC. The function of cumulus cells in oocyte growth and maturation and in subsequent ovulation and fertilization. Cells. 2021; 10:2292.
- Impicciatore GG, Tiboni GM. Extrapituitary actions of GnRH antagonists: Prospects for *in vitro* fertilization programs. Curr Pharm Des. 2012; 18:264-269.
- 25. Zhu X, Ye H, Ye J, Fu Y. Progesterone protocol versus gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist protocol in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome undergoing *in vitro* fertilization treatments with frozen-thawed embryo transfer: A prospective randomized controlled trial. Ann Transl Med. 2021; 9:387.
- Pan MH, Ju JQ, Li XH, Xu Y, Wang JD, Ren YP, Lu X, Sun SC. Inhibition of survivin induces spindle disorganization, chromosome misalignment, and DNA damage during mouse embryo development. Cell Cycle. 2020; 19:2148-2157.
- Salehi E, Aflatoonian R, Moeini A, Yamini N, Asadi E, Khosravizadeh Z, Tarzjani MD, Harat ZN, Abolhassani F. Apoptotic biomarkers in cumulus cells in relation to embryo quality in polycystic ovary syndrome. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2017; 296:1219-1227.
- Chappel SC, Howles C. Reevaluation of the roles of luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone in the ovulatory process. Hum Reprod. 1991; 6:1206-1212.
- Propst AM, Hill MJ, Bates GW, Palumbo M, Van Horne AK, Retzloff MG. Low-dose human chorionic gonadotropin may improve *in vitro* fertilization cycle outcomes in patients with low luteinizing hormone levels after gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist administration. Fertil Steril. 2011; 96:898-904.
- 30. Luo Y, Liu S, Su H, Hua L, Ren H, Liu M, Wan Y, Li H, Li Y. Low serum LH levels during ovarian stimulation with GnRH antagonist protocol decrease the live birth rate after fresh embryo transfers but have no impact in freeze-all cycles. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021; 12:640047.
- Sullivan MW, Stewart-Akers A, Krasnow JS, Berga SL, Zeleznik AJ. Ovarian responses in women to recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone and luteinizing hormone (LH): A role for LH in the final stages of follicular maturation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1999; 84:228-232.
- Kumar P, Sait SF. Luteinizing hormone and its dilemma in ovulation induction. J Hum Reprod Sci. 2011; 4:2-7.
- Kofinas JD, Elias RT. Follicle-stimulating hormone/ luteinizing hormone ratio as an independent predictor of response to controlled ovarian stimulation. Womens Health (Lond). 2014; 10:505-509.
- 34. Racoubian E, Aimagambetova G, Finan RR, Almawi WY. Age-dependent changes in anti-Müllerian hormone levels in Lebanese females: Correlation with basal FSH and LH levels and LH/FSH ratio: A cross-sectional study. BMC Womens Health. 2020; 20:134.
- Dumesic DA, Meldrum DR, Katz-Jaffe MG, Krisher RL, Schoolcraft WB. Oocyte environment: Follicular fluid and cumulus cells are critical for oocyte health. Fertil

Steril. 2015; 103:303-316.

- Assou S, Haouzi D, De Vos J, Hamamah S. Human cumulus cells as biomarkers for embryo and pregnancy outcomes. Mol Hum Reprod. 2010; 16:531-538.
- Ferrari B, Barusi L, Coppola F. Clinical and endocrine effects of ovulation induction with FSH and hCG supplementation in low responders in the midfollicular phase. A pilot study. J Reprod Med. 2002; 47:137-143.
- Filicori M, Cognigni GE, Samara A, Melappioni S, Perri T, Cantelli B, Parmegiani L, Pelusi G, DeAloysio D. The use of LH activity to drive folliculogenesis: Exploring uncharted territories in ovulation induction. Hum Reprod Update. 2002; 8:543-557.
- Hughes EG, Robertson DM, Handelsman DJ, Hayward S, Healy DL, de Kretser DM. Inhibin and estradiol responses to ovarian hyperstimulation: Effects of age and predictive value for *in vitro* fertilization outcome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1990; 70:358-364.
- 40. Yding Andersen C, Bungum L, Nyboe Andersen A, Humaidan P. Preovulatory progesterone concentration associates significantly to follicle number and LH concentration but not to pregnancy rate. Reprod Biomed

Online. 2011; 23:187-195.

- Ou J, Xing W, Li Y, Xu Y, Zhou C. Short versus long gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue suppression protocols in IVF/ICSI cycles in patients of various age ranges. PLoS One. 2015; 10:e0133887.
- 42. Qiao J, Wang ZB, Feng HL, Miao YL, Wang Q, Yu Y, Wei YC, Yan J, Wang WH, Shen W, Sun SC, Schatten H, Sun QY. The root of reduced fertility in aged women and possible therapentic options: Current status and future perspects. Mol Aspects Med. 2014; 38:54-85.

Received December 1, 2022; Revised February 5, 2023; Accepted April 15, 2023.

#### \*Address correspondence to:

Ling Wang, Laboratory for Reproductive Immunology, Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital of Fudan University, 419 Fangxie Road, Shanghai 200011, China. E-mail: Dr.wangling@fudan.edu.cn

Released online in J-STAGE as advance publication April 21, 2023.